

Formerly the Microelectronics Group of Lucent Technologies 9333 South John Young Parkway Orlando, Fl. 32819-8698

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL SHEET	
To: USP70	From: Fred Romano
Fax: 703 - 872 - 9319	Pages: (Including Cover Sheet)
Re: Chypn 15-6-9	Date: 1/28/02
ØUrgent! □ For Rev	view 🛘 Please Comment 🗎 Please Reply
Comments:	7826
	COPY RECEIVED
	FAX COPY RECEIVED JAN 28 2002
	TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

9333 S. JOHN YOUNG PARKWAY, ORLANDO FLORIDA 32819-8698 PHONE: 407-371-3250 FAX: 407-371-3781

The information contained in this facsimile transmission is an attorney-client privileged, confidential communication intended for the exclusive use of the intended recipient named above. If you are not the intended recipient, or are an employee or agent responsible for delivering this transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this transmission is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify the sender immediately at the telephone number shown above and mail the original transmission to the above address. We will reimburse you for any reasonable expense you may incur in doing so. Thank you.

Received from < > at 1/28/02 4:57:45 PM [Eastern Standard Time]





9319 on January 28, 2002.

I hereby certify that this correspondence was faxed to the the Commissioner of Patents and

Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231 at 703-873-

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

HOELEUM

Patent Application

Yih-Feng Chyan Inventor(s)

John Michael Hergenrother

Donald Paul Monroe

Case

15-6-9

Serial No.

09/648,164

Group Art Unit

2826

Filing Date

August 25, 2000

Examiner

Dickey, Thomas L.

FAX COPY RECEIVED JAN 28 2002

Architecture for Circuit Connection Of A Vertical Transistor Title

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

TRAVERSAL TO REQUIREMENT FOR RESTRICTION

SIR:

In response to the restriction requirement of November 29, 2001, Applicant provisionally elects Group I, Claims 1-19 with traverse. The restriction must be withdrawn for the following reasons.

The restriction is in error because the Examiner has read undue limitations into Applicant's claims. That is, the sole basis for the restriction is that the Examiner believes the invention of claim 20 could be formed in a trench or on a rounded surface while the invention of claim 1 cannot. This conclusion only results from a very narrow and limiting construction of the claim language. The fact that a layer having a major surface may be formed along a plane does not imply that the semiconductor surface of claim 1 is any more limiting than the semiconductor surface of claim 20, or that formation of the various elements in a trench or on a rounded surface is precluded. A rounded surface may be formed along a plane.

Moreover, none of the distinctions presented by the Examiner result in a materially different product. If the Examiner believes otherwise the Examiner should provide support for this position. Further, the Examiner can provide a more comprehensive search of relevant art by not segregating the claims. Since it is useful to search classes corresponding to both groups of claims for examination of each group, there is no real basis for separately examining the claims.

Notwithstanding the insufficiency of the restriction requirement, the claims of Group II are patentably distinct over the claims of Group I because they are directed to a method of fabricating a device, which is different from the claims describing a device or circuit structure.

For all of these reasons removal of the restriction requirement is required.

If the Examiner has any questions regarding this response, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number provided below.

Respectfully,

Ferdinand M. Romano

Attorney for Applicant(s)

(407) 371-3250 Reg. No. **32752**

Date: 2/28/02

Agere Systems Inc.

P. O. Box 614

Berkeley Heights, New Jersey 07922-0614

FAX COPY RECEIVED

(my

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800